

Appl. No. 09/890,775
Amdt. dated September 22, 2003
Reply to Office Action of August 22, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

The applicants respond to the Office Action of August 22, 2003, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.111.

Claims 46 through 79 are pending in the application.

Applicants have been required to elect a single invention from one of the following three groups to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 46-73, drawn to a method of use.

Group II, claims 74-78, drawn to compounds of Formula I and composition Group III, claim 79, drawn to compounds of formula (XIIa).

Applicants provisionally elect Group II, claims 74-78, for prosecution, with traverse.

Applicants have been required to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Accordingly, Applicants elect N' - {4-[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]-2,5-dimethylphenyl} - N-ethyl-N-methylimidoforamide. This corresponds to Example No. 364 in the application.

All of the claims of Group II read on this species.

The applicants' election is made with traverse. The applicants believe that the requirement should be withdrawn.

If the Examiner requires any additional information regarding this election, the applicants request the Examiner to contact their attorney as identified below.

Appl. No. 09/890,775
Amdt. dated September 22, 2003
Reply to Office Action of August 22, 2003

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

22 Sep 03

Date



Paul Grandinetti
Reg. No. 30,754
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone (202) 429-4560